

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

MISC. CIVIL APPLN.(CONTEMPT PETITION) No 245 of 1988

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE S.M.SONI and
MR.JUSTICE R.R.JAIN

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

LALITPRASAD KAUSHIK

Versus

RR YADAV

Appearance:

Mr. P.J. Patel for Mr. VH DESAI for Petitioner
SERVED for Respondent No. 1, 5, 6, 7, 8
UNSERVED for Respondent No. 4

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE S.M.SONI and
MR.JUSTICE R.R.JAIN

Date of decision: 23/08/96

ORAL JUDGEMENT(Per Soni, J.)

Learned advocate for the petitioner is absent on call.
Hence, dismissed for want of prosecution. Rule is
discharged with no order as to costs.

After the above order was passed, Mr. P.J. Patel appeared for Mr. V.H. Desai. On instruction, Mr. Patel states that all the grievances of the petitioner are satisfied. The petitioner was reinstated in service and then was declared surplus in accordance with law and is again absorbed in some another school. Thus, nothing survives in this application or it can be said that the alleged contempt has been purged. Any delay caused in compliance of the order was for the reasons beyond the control of the management. Hence, this application is now not maintainable and is dismissed.

Rule is discharged. No order as to costs.